

# *Decline in Rural Female Labour Force Participation in India: A Relook into the Causes*

Sunita Sanghi, A Srija, and Shirke Shrinivas Vijay

VIKALPA  
The Journal for Decision Makers  
40(3) 255–268

© 2015 Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad  
SAGE Publications

sagepub.in/home.nav  
DOI: 10.1177/0256090915598264  
<http://vik.sagepub.com>



As an economy transforms from an agricultural economy to an industrial economy, a decline in participation of female labour force is observed. This is attributed to the shift from family-based production to large-scale production in industrial units. The womenfolk being mostly illiterate or with low levels of education face shortage of work in the home turf and have inhibitions in working as manual labour in the non-agricultural sector. But with an increase in family income and improvement in the education level of females, more and more females start entering the labour force, especially into non-manual or service-oriented jobs. That is why the participation of females in the labour force in comparison with the economic growth is supposed to be U-shaped (Goldin, 1994). This article examines how the experience has been in India especially among rural females who account for the major share of the rural population.

For developing countries, average patterns of women's labour force participation are more mixed, ranging from as low as 21 per cent in the Middle East and North African region to as high as 71 per cent in the East Asian and Pacific region in 2010. The gender gaps in labour force participation are also highest in the Middle East and North African and South Asian regions, where men's participation rates exceed women's rates by over 50 percentage points.<sup>1</sup> The labour force participation rate (LFPR) in India is around 40 per cent, but gender-wise, for females it is only 22.5 per cent.<sup>2</sup> The gap in male–female labour force participation is such that the LFPR for rural females of the age group over 15 years is only 35.8 per cent, while for rural males it is more than double at 81.3 per cent.<sup>3</sup> This is quite baffling in a country with a huge demographic dividend of the working population. Studies undertaken to analyse this trend of low and declining female LFPR (FLFPR) has attributed it to education and income effect (Rangarajan, Kaul, & Seema, 2011; Vinoj, 2013). In a culturally diverse country like India, socio-cultural aspects also have a say in the economic participation of the women. India's policies on liberalization, privatization and globalization initiated in the early nineties and more than two decades of reform-induced economic growth have driven the economy to a double-digit growth. This article examines how the participation of the female labour force has

## KEY WORDS

Rural Female Labour Force Participation Rate (RFLFPR)

Education Effect

Income Effect

Gender Gap

pared, during this period of positive growth, especially in rural areas, wherein almost 70 per cent of the female population lives (Census 2011).<sup>4</sup> The trend analysis in the paper covers five quinquennial National Sample Survey (NSS) Rounds on the Employment and Unemployment Survey (EUS) (1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012).

## RURAL FEMALE LABOUR FORCE PARTICIPATION IN INDIA

The pursuit of neoliberal economic policies<sup>5</sup> has led to a discussion of both defeminization and feminization of labour force in the economic literature. But, according to the National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO) EUS, the rural female LFPR (RFLFPR) has been almost half of the rural male LFPR, while in the urban areas, the FLFPR is even less than half of the male LFPR (Table 1). While the FLFPR marginally revived in urban areas in 2011–2012, in the rural areas, the declining trend continues except for the year 2004–2005.

**Table 1: Labour Force Participation Rate per 1000 of Age 15-plus Years as per Usual Status**

NSSO Rounds	Rural		Urban	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
1993–1994	876	491	801	238
1999–2000	845	364	782	180
2004–2005	864	497	796	246
2009–2010	825	378	762	194
2011–2012	813	358	764	205

**Source:** NSSO EUS rounds: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

With nearly 80 per cent (NSSO 2011–2012, 68th round) of the female labour force being concentrated in rural areas, the focus of this paper is on addressing the issue from the rural angle. Table 2 captures the age cohort-wise distribution of rural female labour force across the Rounds. It emerges that there has been a steady decline in the LFPR from 1993–1994 to 2011–2012 in the age group of 5–9 years and 10–14 years indicating that the rural females of this age group are in the education space. Similarly, in the 15–19 and 20–24 age-cohort, a decline in the LFPR is seen in 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Table 2: Age-wise Distribution of Rural Female Labour Force Participation Rate per 1000 as per Usual Status**

Age Group	1993–1994	1999–2000	2004–2005	2009–2010	2011–2012
5–9	14	7	3	4	1
10–14	142	96	75	36	30
15–19	371	314	331	195	164
20–24	470	425	435	314	297
25–29	528	498	530	404	369
30–34	587	557	593	434	431
35–39	610	579	642	497	481
40–44	607	586	627	498	482
45–49	594	566	616	492	484
50–54	543	515	562	485	444
55–59	468	450	509	411	394
60 and above	241	218	254	226	213
<b>All (0+)</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>253</b>

**Source:** NSSO EUS rounds: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

The LFPR reaches its prime in the age group of 30–49 years, but even among this group, except for 2004–2005, when there was a rise in labour force participation, the FLFPR is seen declining. To understand why women who were earlier in the labour force were leaving, the activity status of rural females outside the labour force was looked into (Table 3).

**Table 3: Females Engaged in NSSO Activity Codes 92 and 93 per 100 Population (age group 15-plus)**

NSSO Rounds	1993–1994	1999–2000	2004–2005	2009–2010	2011–2012
Rural	56	54	52	57	60
Urban	65	65	63	64	64

**Source:** Authors' calculations using NSSO unit level data: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Note.** Code 92—attended to domestic duties only; Code 93—attended to domestic duties and also engaged in the free collection of goods (vegetables, roots, firewood, cattle feed, etc.), sewing, tailoring, weaving, etc. for household use.

As may be seen from Table 3, the proportion of rural females who reported themselves as engaged primarily in domestic duties and activities for household

consumption increased from 56 per cent in 1993–1994 to 60 per cent in 2011–2012, while for urban females, it has been around 64 per cent during this period. The women who spent their time in domestic duties belonged to all age groups. The proportion of rural females aged 15–29 years who spent their time in domestic duties increased from 54.8 per cent in 2004–2005 to 57.5 per cent in 2011–2012, while the proportion for the 30–44 year age group increased from 52.5 to 65.8 per cent during this period.<sup>6</sup> In other words, in the economically active age group (15–64 years), 151.9 million and 81.8 million females were outside the labour force in the rural and urban areas, respectively, in 2011–2012.<sup>7</sup> It appears that rural women who move out of the labour force get into full-time domestic duties and/or do activities for their own consumption. Table 4 highlights the probable reasons for moving out of the labour force. It is evident that most of the women spend more than 80 per cent of their time in domestic duties as purportedly there is no other person to carry out these duties. The proportion of rural women engaged in domestic duties and preferring to stay in the household has declined from 70 per

cent in 1993–1994 to 66 per cent in 2011–2012. In other terms, the proportion of females who expressed their willingness to work has increased from 30 per cent to 34 per cent, and the majority of them wanted to work on a regular part-time basis so as to attend to their domestic duties also. This implies that there has been a change in the sentiment among rural women to be engaged in economically productive activities.

As may be seen from Table 5, the rural females have given preference for women-centric work which can be discharged from the household or within the periphery of the household in the nature of self-employed. Although a majority of rural females have asked for venture capital, a decline in trend for this assistance and an increase in demand for training may be noticed across the rounds. The preference for women-centric jobs also implies that rural females prefer activities which can easily blend with their domestic duties. To further understand the work preferences, the workforce distribution of rural females was looked into.

**Table 4: Responses of Rural Women in the NSSO EUS Reporting Activity Status as Domestic Duties under Codes 92 and 93**

S. No.		1993–1994	1999–2000	2004–2005	2009–2010	2011–2012
1	Required to spend most of the time in domestic duties (%)	88	89.8	88	89	92
2	Out of the females in (1), the percentage of females reporting 'no other person to do domestic duty' as the reason for being engaged in domestic duties	55	56	55	62	60
3	Out of the females in (1), the percentage of females not willing to work	70	67	67	67	66

**Source:** Authors' calculations using NSSO unit level data: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Table 5: Responses of Rural Women Reporting Activity Status as Domestic Duties under Codes 92 and 93 and are Willing to Work**

NSSO Survey Year	1993–1994	1999–2000	2004–2005	2009–2010	2011–2012
(i) Nature of work reported as regular part time	68	69	75	70	74
(ii) Assistance required—initial financial assistance on easy terms	56	51	48	43	41
(iii) Assistance required—working finance facilities	20	16	14	14	19
(iv) Assistance required—training	10	16	15	17	21
(v) Preferred economic activity—animal husbandry, tailoring, spinning and weaving, manufacture of wood products, leather goods, food processing, etc. (in decreasing order of preference)					

**Source:** Authors' calculations using NSSO unit level data: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

## Rural Female Workforce Distribution

More than 70 per cent of the rural female workforce (Table 6) is engaged in agriculture, and the proportion of workforce engaged in agriculture in principal and subsidiary capacity is around 75 per cent across different NSSO rounds. In 2004–2005, when there was a spurt in RFLFPR, the proportion of rural females engaged in agriculture in principal or subsidiary capacity was found to be lower than that in 1999–2000. But the proportion of females engaged in the non-farm sector saw a rise in 2004–2005. The declining trend in the share of employment in agriculture both in principal and subsidiary capacity is evident from 2009–2010 onwards.

Rural females engaged in manufacturing as a principal activity declined from 12.7 per cent in 1993–1994 to 9.4 per cent in 2011–2012, while the proportion of rural females engaged in manufacturing as a subsidiary activity decreased from 32 per cent in 1993–1994 to 7.1 per cent in 2009–2010 and marginally increased to 10.2 per cent in 2011–2012. This implies that there was the loss of jobs for women who were engaged in manufacturing. This may be due to the decrease in demand for the products from traditional industries which led to the loss of jobs for women. In the non-manufacturing sector that consists of the construction sector apart from public utilities, there has been a decline in the share of rural females since 1993–1994, which sees a reversal from 2009–2010 onwards. In the services sector, the share of rural females in the principal status has steadily increased from 1993–1994 to 2011–2012, while as a subsidiary activity, there has

been a fluctuation in their participation. Thus, in the past two decades, while the services sector has shown a rise in jobs for the rural females, agriculture shows a declining trend and in the industry sector a reversal is noticed only in the last two rounds. But only if the decline in agriculture jobs is commensurate with the increase in jobs in the non-farm sector, can the situation of loss of jobs be arrested.

## Distribution of Rural Female Workforce by Employment Status

Agriculture is the main sector that employs the rural female workforce. The employment status of the workforce engaged in agriculture over the rounds may be seen in Table 7.

In the agriculture sector, among rural females who reported themselves as self-employed, there was an increase in the proportion of the own account worker category both in the principal status and subsidiary status. But what is disturbing is that a large proportion of rural females in agriculture are working as unpaid helpers both in principal and subsidiary capacity. The sudden spurt in the labour force and workforce in 2004–2005 also witnessed an increase in the proportion of rural females engaged in agriculture working as helpers and a decline in those working as casual labour. This may be attributed to distress employment as agriculture and allied activities grew at a rate of 0.89 per cent during the period from 2002–2003 to 2004–2005 (Eleventh Five Year Plan, 2007–2012, Vol. III).

**Table 6: Share of Rural Female Workforce by Sector and Activity Status (age group 15-plus)**

NSSO Rounds	Agriculture		Manufacturing		Non-manufacturing		Services	
	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary
1993–1994	76.6	60.9	12.7	32.0	6.4	3.1	4.3	4
1999–2000	84.5	91.8	7.2	5.6	1.6	0.2	6.7	2.4
2004–2005	81.4	89	8.6	7.2	2.1	0.7	7.9	3.1
2009–2010	78.9	80.7	7.5	7.1	4.6	9.0	9.0	3.2
2011–2012	74.5	76.1	9.4	10.2	5.6	10.5	10.5	3.2

**Source:** Authors' calculations from unit level data of NSSO rounds: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Table 7: Proportional Distribution of Workforce by Employment Status**

NSSO Rounds	Agriculture								
	Own Account Worker		Employer		Helper Unpaid		Regular wage/ Salaried	Casual Labour in Other Types of Work	
	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Principal	Subsidiary
1993–1994	5.9	6.8	0.6	0.5	35.7	61.4	0.3	57.5	31.2
1999–2000	10.0	23.8	0.4	0.7	37.7	60.6	0.9	50.8	14.8
2004–2005	10.9	17.7	0.6	0.6	45.1	66.6	0.6	42.8	14.9
2009–2010	10.7	22.3	0.6	0.9	40.5	58.8	0.5	47.4	17.8
2011–2012	13.0	20.1	0.5	0.4	43.8	60.3	0.9	41.8	17.7

**Source:** Authors' calculations from unit level data of NSSO rounds: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Note.** Self-employed has been split into three sub-categories, namely own account worker, employer and helper who are mainly family members and unpaid. The category, casual labour in public works, was removed as it was insignificant.

The distribution of the workforce by employment and activity status in the manufacturing sector may be seen in Table 8. The rural female working as an employer under the self-employed category was almost non-existent in all the rounds. However, those working as the own account worker in the principal and subsidiary capacity saw an increase. Those reported working as unpaid, though considerable, shows a declining trend. This raises the doubt as to whether family members who were earlier working as helpers started reporting as own account workers. While rural females working in the regular wage/salaried category in principal capacity saw an increase, those working in subsidiary capacity were almost insignificant.

Rural females working as casual labour in principal capacity show a decline, while in the subsidiary capacity there are wide fluctuations. Thus, out

of the small proportion of workforce engaged in manufacturing, the increase in the share of the regular employed is a positive sign of decent jobs being created.

In the non-manufacturing sector, a majority of the rural females are found working as casual labour in other types of work (Table 9).

But in the last two rounds, there is a decline in rural females working in principal capacity as casual labour in other types of work and an increase in the proportion of females working in subsidiary capacity as a casual worker in public works. Can this be interpreted as a shift by the rural females with the loss of jobs in manufacturing and agriculture to the non-manufacturing sector especially construction and working in subsidiary capacity?

**Table 8: Proportional Distribution of Workforce by Employment Status**

NSSO Rounds	Manufacturing						
	Own Account Worker		Helper Unpaid		Regular Wage/Salaried	Casual Labour in Other Types of Work	
	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Principal	Subsidiary
1993–1994	37.8	49.3	38.9	45.7	1.7	21.4	4.4
1999–2000	35.8	25.2	37.9	69.1	9.9	16.3	5.6
2004–2005	39.3	52.7	34.0	34.4	9.9	16.5	10.1
2009–2010	48.3	46.3	23.7	26.9	8.5	19.3	24.0
2011–2012	46.6	66.1	22.6	26.4	12.5	17.9	6.0

**Source:** Authors' calculations from unit level data of NSSO rounds: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Table 9: Proportional Distribution of Workforce by Employment Status**

NSSO Rounds	Non-manufacturing Sector*								
	Own Account Worker		Helper Unpaid		Regular Wage/Salaried	Casual Labour in Public Works		Casual Labour in Other Types of Work	
	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary
1993–1994	9.3	20.2	27.9	36.1	2.5	5.5	2.0	53.5	31.1
1999–2000	1.2	–	4.0	–	2.2	4.8	87.8	87.8	12.1
2004–2005	0.7	0.9	2.3	0.2	–	5.2	38.5	90.1	60.5
2009–2010	0.3	0.7	1.8	–	1.5	17.6	82.9	78.8	15.1
2011–2012	0.4	0.3	2.1	0.7	–	28.8	86.5	67.6	12.6

**Source:** Authors' calculations from unit level data of NSSO rounds: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

**Notes.** \*Includes public utilities and construction sector.

**Table 10: Proportional Distribution of Workforce by Employment Status**

NSSO Rounds	Services							
	Own Account Worker		Helper Unpaid		Regular Wage/Salaried		Casual Labour in other Types of Work	
	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary	Principal	Subsidiary
1993–1994	31.9	40.3	35.1	45.2	20.3	4.2	12.1	9.5
1999–2000	23.4	17.1	26.7	64.3	37.6	15.5	11.8	3.1
2004–2005	23.4	28.6	25.4	48.3	43.2	15.1	7.6	7.6
2009–2010	19.9	33.8	21.6	49.7	49.4	6.9	8.9	9.6
2011–2012	21.7	28.9	16.7	37.6	54.8	19.8	6.2	13.6

**Source:** Extracted from NSSO unit level data: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

In the services sector, a good trend of rural females engaged as regular wage/salaried class in principal capacity is seen steadily increasing (Table 10). At the same time a decline in rural females engaged as own account workers, helpers and casual labour can also be observed.

The ILO Research Paper No. 10 (Kapsos, Silberman, & Bourmpoula, 2014) attributes the decline in female labour force participation to the rising number of females in the age group of 15–24 attending educational institutions, an increase in household income levels, and the measurement issue in capturing female work in NSSO surveys. The next section explores the effect of these factors on female labour force participation.

### Education Effect

With the introduction of the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan<sup>8</sup> and the Right to Education Act, 2009, there has been an improvement in the basic education level up to 14 years of age. But for better employability, the enhancement of

skills after 14 years is a prerequisite. A look at the mean years of education after 14 years shows an increasing trend since 1993–1994, though still low at around two years for rural females and three-plus years for urban females in the last two NSSO rounds (Table 11).

**Table 11: Enrolment Ratio and Mean Years of Education of Female Labour Force of Age 15–24 Years**

NSSO Rounds	Enrolment Ratio		Mean Years of Education after 14 Years	
	Rural Female	Urban Female	Rural Female	Urban Female
1993–1994	8.4	27.8	1.7	2.5
1999–2000	11.3	29.9	1.8	2.7
2004–2005	14.8	33.2	1.9	2.7
2009–2010	15.2	34.5	2.1	3.2
2011–2012	19.7	35.7	2.1	3.4

**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO unit level data: 1993–1994, 1999–2000, 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

Among the rural females in the 15–24 age group, who reported their current status under Code 91,<sup>9</sup> that is, attending educational institutions, reveal that only 8 per cent of the females in this age-cohort were in Code 91 in 1993–1994, 15 per cent in 2004–2005 and 2009–2010, and 20 per cent in 2011–2012. In other words, in 2011–2012, 80 per cent of the women in the 15–24 age bracket were not attending educational institutions and their LFPR was 23 per cent implying that 57 per cent of the women were engaged in domestic duties. Furthermore, the mean age of marriage in rural India being 20.8 years (Statistical Report, 2012), for the majority of rural females in the prime age, entry into the labour force may perhaps be hindered by social factors such as marriage and domestic duties. Hence, the argument that fall in the rural LFPR of the females in the 15–24 bracket is due to an increase in their attending education institutions (education effect) is not fully substantiated by available data. However, with schemes like the Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) focusing on improving accessibility, quality and outreach of secondary education with special focus on more female teachers in schools, separate toilet blocks for girls, incentives to girls students, and hostel facilities, etc., an increase in the mean years of education of rural females would be evident in the years to come.


Another factor that can facilitate entry of female youth is the declining fertility rate. The total fertility rate (TFR) in rural India has declined from 3.7 in 1993–1994 to 2.6 in 2011–2012.<sup>10</sup> But even with the decline in the TFR, the rural females are seen withdrawing from the labour force and engaging in domestic duties. Therefore, the natural question that arises is: Could the withdrawal from labour force be due to the absence of distress employment (income effect)? (Rangarajan et al., 2011).

### Income Effect

To assess the impact of income effect on withdrawal of females from the labour force, the household MPCE (monthly per capita employment) data from the EUS for the period from 2004–2005 to 2011–2012 was examined. The household level MPCE data was used to form decile classes. These decile classes divided the households into 10 classes such that the lowest class represented the lowest 10 per cent in the spectrum of income distribution and the top or the highest decile class represented the top 10 per cent of the households in terms of their expenditure. Using

MPCE for the study of the income distribution may not be a good proxy for income in higher classes as then the savings and expenditure on certain other luxurious activities/items like tourism and jewellery, to name a few, are not accounted for. However, in the lower classes of income where the expenditure on food, housing, clothing, education and medicine form a high percentage of the total MPCE, the approximation works quite well.

**Figure 1: Rural FLFPR vs MPCE Decile Classes**



**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO unit level data.

It is observed from Figure 1 that there has been a decline in the rural FLFPR among all the MPCE decile classes<sup>11</sup> in 2011–2012 as compared to 2004–2005. The decline in FLFPR is 9.2 per cent on average in the first three decile classes, 8.8 per cent in the middle three decile classes and 6.6 per cent in the upper four decile classes.

The magnitude of improvement in the average per capita consumption expenditure in rural areas varies between 9 per cent and 15 per cent up to the 6th decile class, whereas for the rest 4 deciles, it varies between 15 per cent and 23 per cent (Table 12). The decline in female labour force participation in the lower decile classes may be attributed to income effect because the females might have withdrawn from distress employment, but the same argument does not support the withdrawal of females belonging to the middle and higher decile classes (Figure 1).

The decile class-wise distribution of workforce into different employment status reveals that as compared to 2004–2005, in 2011–2012, there has been an increase in women working as a self-employed own account worker in the lower and middle decile classes, while a decline is observed especially in the higher decile class women

working as an unpaid helper. The data also suggests an increase in regular salaried/wage employed across all decile classes and a significant increase in casual workers in public works. The proportion of females in casual works other than public works declined significantly, especially in lower decile classes, whereas it increased in higher decile classes (Table 13).

**Table 12: Decile Class-wise Average MPCE of Rural Households in India**

Decile Classes	2011–2012		% Increase
	2004–2005	(at 2004–2005 rates)	
0–10	242	267	9
10–20	316	354	11
20–30	360	412	13
30–40	402	466	14
40–50	446	522	15
50–60	496	586	15
60–70	553	664	17
70–80	632	770	18
80–90	762	943	19
90–100	1,291	1,681	23

**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO EUS rounds: 2004–2005 and 2011–2012.

This clearly indicates a shift to casual work in the public works category which can be attributed to

the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA). But the average person-days generated per household under MGNREGA has been hovering between 45 and 50, which suggests that, although preferred, the work opportunity generated by MGNREGA is not sufficient. Also, another key important implication is the fall in the unpaid helper category. The movement or shift in the employment status across decile classes clearly indicates that women have preference for a decent kind of work. Also, as alternatives to remunerative employment are provided by programmes like MGNREGA, they do not prefer working as unpaid workers. This motivation towards remunerative employment is well supported if we take a look at trends in wage rates.

Although MPCE is a proxy for per household income, wage data as available in NSSO covers only two sets of employment, namely, casual and regular job, leaving out the self-employed where nearly 50 per cent of the female workforce is engaged. However, an attempt to analyse the wage data of workers in regular and casual employment (Table 14) shows that in terms of 2004–2005 prices, the regular female workers have witnessed more increase in their wages/salaries. In rural areas, the female wages/salaries for regular type of work increased by 30 per cent in 2011–2012 over 2004–2005 as compared to only 23 per cent for males.

**Table 13: Proportionate Percentage Change in the Distribution of Female Workforce in Different MPCE Decile Classes (2004–2005 to 2011–2012)**

Decile Classes	Self-employed			Casual Worker		
	Own Account Worker	Employer	Unpaid Worker	Regular Wage/Salaried	In Public Works	Other
0–10	3.06	–0.02	2.69	–0.54	5.18	–10.38
10–20	2.23	–0.06	0.09	2.32	2.83	–7.40
20–30	2.16	0.05	0.16	1.77	4.09	–8.23
30–40	4.96	0.20	–6.01	0.65	3.85	–3.66
40–50	5.03	–0.05	–6.57	1.18	4.30	–3.90
50–60	2.16	0.19	–10.41	1.03	4.80	2.23
60–70	3.00	–0.06	–10.32	1.19	4.03	2.15
70–80	–0.03	–0.31	–12.87	3.09	4.13	5.99
80–90	0.63	0.02	–11.86	2.69	3.45	5.07
90–100	1.25	–1.32	–11.72	3.80	2.98	5.02

**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO EUS rounds: 2004–2005 and 2011–2012.



**Table 14: Percentage Change in Wages/Salaries of Workers in Rural India**

Year	Regular/Salaried Workers		Casual Workers in Work Other than Public Works		Casual Workers in Public Works (other than MNREGA)	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009–2010 over 2004–2005	11.8	18.5	20.0	28.3	-2.1	13.8
2011–2012 over 2009–2010	9.8	9.8	24.9	27.2	10.0	9.1
2011–2012 over 2004–2005	22.8	30.1	49.8	63.2	7.7	24.2

**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO EUS rounds: 2004–2005, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012.

In the case of casual workers involved in works other than public works, the wages for female workers appreciated by 63 per cent as against 50 per cent for the male counterparts in 2011–2012. For those involved in public works other than MNREGA, women workers experienced better hike in their compensation/wages.

These results are in contradiction with the argument that it was the increase in income of the head of the household which caused women especially in rural areas to withdraw from labour force. The labour market outcomes in monetary terms were much better for females than for males. Drawing from the labour supply theory in economics, since the wage outcomes are higher for females, this must increase the opportunity cost of the leisure or the tendency to stay out of labour force by the choice per se. This should, in turn, be a motivating factor for them to come out and work.

But it is also important to take cognizance of the sectoral wage changes.

It can be observed from Table 15 that rural females experienced a rise in wages in mining, manufacturing, construction, trade, hotels and restaurant, and transport. The services sector experienced a less proportionate increase in wages of females as compared to that of males. The manufacturing sector which employed 10 per cent of the female workforce in rural areas in 2011 and registered an increase of 1.44 per cent in jobs between 2004–2005 and 2011–2012 saw a sharp increase in wages of female workers as compared to their male counterparts. Construction is another sector where there is a significant rise in wages for women with a 5.14 per cent increase in jobs created for females. There is a steep fall in the proportion of jobs in agriculture and allied activities for females between these two NSSO rounds. Although manufacturing,


**Table 15: Sectoral Per cent Change in Wages/Salaries of Regular Workers between 2004–2005 and 2011–2012 in Rural India**

Sector	Male Workers		Female Workers	
	% Change in Wages/Salary	% Change in Share in Employment (2011–2012 over 2004–2005)	% Change in Wages/Salary	% Change in Share in Employment (2011–2012 over 2004–2005)
Agriculture and allied activities	31	-7.14	3	-8.40
Mining	45	-0.10	91	0.00
Manufacturing	6	0.21	63	1.44
Electricity, gas and water supply	4	0.07	-46	0.06
Construction	44	6.17	96	5.14
Trade, hotels and restaurant	28	-0.01	51	0.50
Transport, storage and communications	2	0.43	20	0.00
Services	28	0.37	20	1.27
All	23		30	

**Source:** Author's calculations from NSSO EUS rounds: 2004–2005 and 2011–2012.

construction, trade, hotels and restaurants can be economically fruitful sectors for female workforce, the share of female workforce in regular employment in these sectors was only 5.6 per cent as compared to 10 per cent for males in 2011–2012. Thus, the women who lost jobs in agriculture did not find place in other sectors of the economy. Looking at the educational profile of the rural female labour force (Figure 2), clearly, it is the low educational attainment of rural females that acts as a barrier to their smooth inter-sectoral labour mobility. Nearly 69 per cent of the rural females are either illiterate or have educational attainment only up to the primary level.

**Figure 2: Educational Attainment of Rural Families (2011–2012)**



**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO unit level data.

Thus, more than the income effect, it is the lack of sufficient opportunities commensurate with the skill levels of the women in rural areas that has caused the withdrawal of females from the labour market.

## Gender Gap

Table 16 shows the male–female gap in the LFPR across Indian states during the period from 1993–1994 to 2011–2012 highlighting their minimum, maximum and median rates.

**Table 16: LFPR (in %) (1993–1994 to 2011–2012)**

Category	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Rural Male	53	55	56
Rural Female	24	30	33

**Source:** Authors' calculations from NSSO rounds: 1993–1994 and 2011–2012.

It is evident that the gender gap between male and female labour force participation is 25 percentage points in rural India (median value of 55–30). Across rural India, it can be seen that during the period from 2004–2005 to 2011–2012, except Himachal Pradesh, all other major states experienced an increase in the gender gap between male and female labour force participation (Table 17). It ranges from 2 percentage points in Himachal Pradesh to 43 percentage points in Bihar. The maximum increase in the gender gap between 2004–2005 and 2011–2012 was observed in the states of Karnataka, Gujarat, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Jharkhand and Odisha. If the states are arranged in ascending order of the gender gap in the LFPR in rural India, all the states which show a sharp increase in the gender gap in 2011–2012 figured in the middle range in 2004–2005 with the gender gap widening between 11 (Odisha) and 16 (Karnataka) percentage points in 2011–2012.

**Table 17: Gender Gap in Rural LFPR (in %) (2004–2005 to 2011–2012)**

State	2004–2005	2011–2012	Difference
Himachal Pradesh	5	2	–3
Maharashtra	10	19	9
Uttaranchal	10	15	5
Rajasthan	11	15	4
Chhattisgarh	11	15	4
Andhra Pradesh	13	16	3
Tamil Nadu	14	22	8
<b>Karnataka</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Gujarat</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Madhya Pradesh</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Haryana</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Punjab</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Jharkhand</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Odisha</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>11</b>
Uttar Pradesh	26	32	6
Kerala	27	33	6
Jammu & Kashmir	29	30	1
Bihar	35	43	8
Assam	35	43	8
West Bengal	40	41	1

**Source:** Authors' calculations from the unit level data of NSSO rounds: 2004–2005 and 2011–2012.

So, the question is what happened to these states in the middle range of the gender gap spectrum that forced women to withdraw from the labour force? To answer this, Table 18 analyses the state-wise change in sectoral workforce composition in rural India between 2004–2005 and 2011–2012.

It is observed that agriculture, which was the primary work area of women, has seen a decline across these states. However, the women workers who have been displaced from agriculture did not find place in non-farm sectors. The jobs created were mostly in the construction and utilities sector where women have a very small share in employment. Even in the service sector, jobs created were in transportation, storage, communications, trade, hotels and restaurants which also did not benefit those who had to leave agriculture. With rural females mostly employed as the own account worker, unpaid helper or casual worker, the switch over to unskilled work in other sectors was limited considering their educational profile. Hence, the women in rural areas can be said to have experienced more of a discouraged worker effect that further pushed them out of the labour market.

### Measuring the Female Labour Force Participation

It has been pointed out that there are measurement issues with the NSSO surveys which have been the reason for a significant underestimation of the female work (Kapsos et al., 2014). A large number of literature is available on data underestimation. Women are involved in subsistence and extended SNA activities<sup>12</sup> which are often uncounted or undercounted. The flexible time arrangements and indulgence in unpaid family work may result in reporting or probing errors. The NSSO

schedule does capture subsidiary activities and also tries to collect details on extended SNA activities. The use of time use surveys (TUS) has been advocated in various studies, but carrying it out is difficult and cumbersome taking into consideration the sample size of regular employment–unemployment survey (Hirway, 2000). Also, getting the responses from the people of the activities with small time slots is difficult.

### TO SUM UP

Among all the arguments, income effect, education effect and the problem of underestimation, what is left unnoticed is the structural transformation of the economy and its resultant impact on the female labour market in the whole process. With an increase in income levels of the households, a woman no longer prefers working as an unpaid worker or a helper or as a casual worker unless the work is remunerative (as in MGNREGA). However, such opportunities are limited in rural India and as a result women are not finding jobs matching their preference (regular part-time jobs close to their households). Furthermore, with low skill levels, jobs in the non-farm sector are also limited. These factors perhaps have led to the withdrawal of women from the labour force.

### POLICY STRATEGIES

The decline observed in rural female labour force participation is due to a complex mix of several forces working simultaneously. While the focus is on education and income effect, our findings reveal that the lack of sufficient non-farm jobs in rural areas has forced women to stay out of the labour market. The wage conditions prevalent in the rural labour market show

**Table 18: Change in Rural Sectoral Workforce (in million) (2011–2012 over 2004–2005)**

State	Agriculture	Manufacturing	Non-manufacturing	Services	Total Change	Total Change as % of Workforce
Karnataka	-4.48	0.36	0.34	0.60	-3.19	-15.29
Gujarat	-2.13	-0.09	0.12	-0.09	-2.19	-11.81
Madhya Pradesh	-2.75	-0.07	2.01	0.28	-0.54	-2.38
Haryana	-0.16	-0.02	0.56	0.18	0.56	16.41
Punjab	-1.35	0.24	0.51	0.16	-0.43	-5.60
Jharkhand	-1.35	-0.14	0.74	0.13	-0.63	-6.07
Odisha	-1.05	-0.22	1.00	0.24	-0.03	-0.18

**Source:** Authors' calculations from the unit level data of NSSO rounds: 2004–2005 and 2011–2012.

that female workers have experienced a better hike in wages/salaries; it implies that the gender gap in terms of wages/salaries has started declining. But the opportunities available in rural India which are compatible with their education levels are dying out. Dedicated efforts in skilling, re-skilling and improving their educational outcomes through infrastructure development, female teacher availability, incentives along with creating an adequate number of favourable job opportunities are necessary to harness their potential.

Considering the constraints experienced by the rural females to enter the labour force (Tables 4 and 5), policy initiatives are required to make them economically active. The initiatives should focus on microfinance-supported self-help group-centred activities, which will make them economically active along with handling domestic duties. Also, this will help to address the need for working finance assistance as expressed by the females willing to accept work. If such policy initiatives materialize and the 34 per cent women in activity codes 92 and 93, who are willing to work, enter the labour force, the rural female participation rate would increase from the current 25.3 per cent to 39 per cent.

## NOTES

- \* The views expressed are personal and do not represent the organization the authors represent.
- 1 Women are less likely than men to participate in the labour market in most countries. Retrieved from <http://data.worldbank.org/news/women-less-likely-than-men-to-participate-in-labor-market>
- 2 National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) 68th Round Employment–Unemployment Survey 2011–12. LFPR calculated for the 0-plus age group.
- 3 Ibid.
- 4 Retrieved from [http://www.censusindia.gov.in/2011-common/census\\_2011.html](http://www.censusindia.gov.in/2011-common/census_2011.html)
- 5 Post 1991, the Indian government had brought in a series of economic reforms which had facilitated privatization of the public sector, trade liberalization and deregulation of industry.
- 6 Authors' calculation from the NSSO EUS unit level data.
- 7 Ibid.

## REFERENCES

Goldin, C. (1994). *The u-shaped female labour force function in economic development and economic history*. Working Paper No. 4707. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved 15 July 2015, from <http://www.nber.org/papers/w4707>

Furthermore, rural manufacturing should create jobs that can be undertaken by women in their household or as a community. Such focused steps can increase the participation of rural females back into the labour force.

For females in the 15–24 age group who are about to enter the labour force, vocational and basic skills training need to be provided so that they can enter the labour market overcoming social inhibitions and restrictions. Lack of adequate infrastructure in terms of roads and connectivity can also be deterring women from undertaking work in the nearby areas. Thus, improving connectivity between the villages and the satellite towns and small cities will also enhance female labour force participation.

India has already started realizing its demographic dividend and female population which account for nearly 50 per cent of the population is an asset to be utilized carefully if we have to reap this window of opportunity. Declining fertility rate and child dependency ratio are factors which can facilitate female workers to come out. In short, a bubbling rural economy is the need of the hour to create jobs and absorb the bulging economically active female population.

- 8 Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan is a programme implemented by the Ministry of Human Resources Development that provides basic education to the children up to the age of 14 years, and the implementation of this programme has been strengthened with the introduction of the Right to Education Act, 2009 that guarantees free and compulsory education to all children up to the age of 14 years.
- 9 NSSO Employment–Unemployment Survey (various rounds).
- 10 Ibid.
- 11 The MPCE decile classes are formed in such a way that each class has same proportion (10 per cent) of the population and are ordered in an increasing level of MPCE.
- 12 Non-economic activities generating services (and some goods) that are produced by households without undergoing monetary transactions.

Hirway, I. (2000). *Time use survey: Concept, classification and related issues—Lessons from the Indian pilot time use survey*. Paper presented at the Training Workshop 10–17 September 2000, Bangkok. Retrieved 17 July 2015, from <http://www.cepal.org/mujer/noticias/noticias/3/22983/IndiraEUTStwsa-09.pdf>

- Kapsos, S., Silberman, A., & Bourmpoula, E. (2014, August). *Why is female labour force participation declining so sharply in India?* ILO Research Paper No. 10. Geneva: International Labour Organization. Retrieved 15 July 2015, from [http://www.ilo.org/global/research/papers/WCMS\\_250977/lang-en/index.htm](http://www.ilo.org/global/research/papers/WCMS_250977/lang-en/index.htm)
- NSSO (1997). *Employment and unemployment in India, 1993–94, NSS 50th Round* (Report No. 409). New Delhi: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. Retrieved 17 July 2015, [http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi\\_New/upload/409\\_final.pdf](http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/upload/409_final.pdf)
- NSSO (2000). *Employment and unemployment in India, 1999–2000, NSS 55th Round* (Report No. 455). New Delhi: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. Retrieved 17 July 2015, [http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi\\_New/upload/458\\_part1\\_final.pdf](http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/upload/458_part1_final.pdf); [http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi\\_New/upload/458\\_part2\\_final.pdf](http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/upload/458_part2_final.pdf)
- NSSO (2006). *Employment and unemployment in India, 2004–05, NSS 61st Round* (Report No. 515, Parts I & II). New Delhi: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. Retrieved 17 July 2015, [https://cas.sas.upenn.edu/sites/cas.sas.upenn.edu/files/iit/515part1\\_employment.pdf](https://cas.sas.upenn.edu/sites/cas.sas.upenn.edu/files/iit/515part1_employment.pdf); [file:///C:/Users/njha/Downloads/515part2\\_final.pdf](file:///C:/Users/njha/Downloads/515part2_final.pdf)
- NSSO (2011). *Employment and unemployment in India, 2009–10, NSS 66th Round—Key Indicators of employment–unemployment in India 2009–2010* (Report No. 537). New Delhi: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. Retrieved 17 July 2015, [http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi\\_New/upload/Key\\_Indicators\\_Emp\\_&\\_Unemp\\_66th\\_round.pdf](http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/upload/Key_Indicators_Emp_&_Unemp_66th_round.pdf)
- NSSO (2014). *Employment and unemployment in India, 2011–2012, NSS 68th Round—Key indicators of employment–unemployment in India, 2011–2012* (Report No. 554). New Delhi: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. Retrieved 17 July 2015, [http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi\\_New/upload/nss\\_report\\_554\\_31jan14.pdf](http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/upload/nss_report_554_31jan14.pdf)
- Planning Commission Government of India (2008). *Agriculture, rural development, industry, services, and physical infrastructure*. Eleventh Five Year Plan 2007–12 (Vol. III, Chapter 1, Agriculture, Table 1.1, p. 4). New Delhi: Oxford University Press. Retrieved 25 March 2015, from [http://planningcommission.nic.in/plans/planrel/fiveyr/11th/11\\_v3/11th\\_vol3.pdf](http://planningcommission.nic.in/plans/planrel/fiveyr/11th/11_v3/11th_vol3.pdf)
- Rangarajan, C., Kaul, P. I., & Seema (2011). Where is the missing labour force? *Economic & Political Weekly*, 46(39), 24 September, 68–72. Retrieved 17 July 2015, <http://www.epw.in/special-articles/where-missing-labour-force.html>
- Statistical Report (2012). Sample Registration System (SRS), Office of Registrar General of India, Government of India. Retrieved 25 March 2015, [http://censusindia.gov.in/Vital\\_Statistics/SRS\\_Bulletins/SRS\\_Bulletins\\_links/Bulletin\\_2000\\_Vol\\_33\\_No\\_1.pdf](http://censusindia.gov.in/Vital_Statistics/SRS_Bulletins/SRS_Bulletins_links/Bulletin_2000_Vol_33_No_1.pdf)
- Twelfth Five Year Plan (2012–2017). *Employment and skill development*. Social Sectors, Planning Commission, Government of India, Volume III, Chapter 22, pp. 124–163. Retrieved on 25 March, 2015 from [http://planningcommission.gov.in/plans/planrel/12thplan/pdf/12fyp\\_vol3.pdf](http://planningcommission.gov.in/plans/planrel/12thplan/pdf/12fyp_vol3.pdf)
- Vinoj, A. (2013). *Missing labour force or ‘defeminization’ of labour force in India?* Centre for Development Studies. Working Paper 452, May. Retrieved 17 July 2015, from <http://cds.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/wp452.pdf>

**Sunita Sanghi**, an Indian Economic Service (IES) Officer, is Adviser in the Labour Employment & Manpower, NITI Aayog, New Delhi. She has worked in the areas of agriculture, horticulture, stock market, petroleum, and labour and skill development. She has been working in the area of labour for the last 15 years. She coordinated the preparation of the 12th Five Year Plan documents and report on the rationalization of centrally sponsored schemes. She has presented papers in international fora, namely, India’s Low Carbon Inclusive Growth Strategy: Achieving Policy Coherence (OECD, 2014), Integrating Skills into Local Development Strategies for Green Job Creation (OECD, 2012) and Skills Development by Green and Inclusive SMEs in India: Entrepreneurs’ Approaches. She has co-authored ‘Skills for Inclusion’ in *Yojana* and ‘Skills for Employability’ in *Vikalpa*. Her areas of interest include labour reforms, education and skill development.

e-mail: [sunitasanghi1960@gmail.com](mailto:sunitasanghi1960@gmail.com)

**A Srija** is from the Indian Economic Service and is presently posted as Director (Labour and Employment) in NITI Aayog, New Delhi. She has worked in the areas of agriculture and trade, real estate, internal trade, food and public distribution, evaluation of centrally-sponsored schemes in her earlier assignments. Her other published works include, along with the current authors, ‘An Analysis of the Informal Labour Market in India’ and ‘Youth Unemployment in India’ published in *CII Economy Matters* and ‘Employment Trends among Religious Communities of India’ published in *Economic & Political Weekly*. She has a publication in the *Global Journal* titled Implementation of Minimum Wages Act, 1948: Case Study of India.

e-mail: [srija.a@nic.in](mailto:srija.a@nic.in)

**Shirke Shrinivas Vijay** from the Indian Statistical Service is presently posted as Assistant Director (Programme

Implementation) with Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. The author was earlier working in the Labour and Employment Division in the erstwhile Planning Commission. The author is well conversant in the analysis of the data generated by the NSSO as well as CSO. The author's

other areas of interest include econometrics, national accounts and industrial statistics, developmental economics, financial markets, actuarial statistics and insurance.

e-mail: [shrinivasshirke@gmail.com](mailto:shrinivasshirke@gmail.com)